

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
PATENT TRANSMITTAL FORM

Applicant(s): A. C. Callegari et al.
Serial No.: 09/597,780
For: MULTI-DOMAIN AND IPS LIQUID-CRYSTAL DISPLAY USING DRY ALIGNMENT
Filed: June 20, 2000
Examiner: Hoan C. Nguyen
Art Unit: 2871
Confirmation No.: 6159
Customer No.: 51,378 Attorney Docket No.: YOR920000010US1

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

We are enclosing:

1. Interview Summary; and
2. Transmittal letter.

Please charge any additional fees or credit any such fees, if necessary to **Deposit Account No. 50-0510** in the name of International Business Machines.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul D. Greeley
Reg. No. 31,019
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor
Stamford, Connecticut 06901-2682
(203) 327-4500

Date: August 24, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: A.C. Callegari et al.
Serial No.: 09/597,780
For: MULTI-DOMAIN AND IPS LIQUID-CRYSTAL DISPLAY
USING DRY ALIGNMENT
Filed: June 20, 2000
Examiner: Hoan C. Nguyen
Art Unit: 2871
Confirmation No.: 6159
Customer No.: 27623 Attorney Docket No.: YOR92000OO10

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants thank the Examiner for participating in an interview with Applicants' attorney on August 20, 2009 concerning the above-identified application.

During the interview, the discussion centered on a proposed amendment to claim 9 at line 4, which changed "a first transparent conductive layer disposed over said first surface of said bottom substrate" to "a first transparent conductive layer disposed on said first surface of said bottom substrate". The Examiner contended that the changed language still reads on the Oh patent. The Examiner suggested that the Oh patent would be overcome if "over" were


changed to "directly on". The Examiner also noted that he believed he could find a reference that supplies the deficiency of the Oh patent.

Also during the interview, the Examiner clarified that the underlined phrase in paragraph (d) at page 6 of the final Office Action should read "where a ion beam and a ion beam use the same ion source".

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: _____

8/21/09



Paul D. Greeley
Reg. No. 31,019
Attorney for Applicants
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
(203) 327-4500